isposable contact lenses for use corneal bandages

1 D. Winkler, O.D. (*)

mary

posable contact lenses were introduced as a itionary alternative to conventional, cosmetic ct lens wear. The use of disposable contact; has evolved to include use as corneal iges and as drug vehicles for delivering rations to the anterior segment.

Words

GLISH - disposable contact lenses, bandage ct lenses. SPANISH- lente de contacto desee, lente de contacto vendaje.

e use of hydrogel contact lenses for therapurposes is well documented in the literand Hydrogel lenses have successfully been us corneal bandages to assist in the treatment current corneal erosion¹, filamentary itis², keratoconjunctivitis sicca³, bullous ppathy⁴, entropion and trichiasis⁵ and is corneal dystrophies⁶. In addition, they been used as vehicles to deliver medications anterior segment⁷.

nough the use of hydrogel lenses as corneal iges and drug vehicles is nothing new, a new ach may now be taken. The introduction of sable contact lenses offers two significant itages over conventional lenses when used ise capacities.

e most dramatic advantage of disposable are their extremely low cost. Whereas a disposable lens costs a mere \$2.50 (U.S.), entional bandage lenses have an average list

ometric Consultant, Department of Athletics University of ati nfield Drive Cincinnati Ohio 45242 U.S.A.

price of \$50 (U.S.). Obviously, this offers enormous savings to both the patient and the clinic alike. In chronic conditions where several lenses are necessary, this economic savings may even result in better patient compliance, the cornerstone of any therapy program. Because one free trial lens is provided to the clinic for each package of six disposable lenses ordered, it is even possible to use the free, excess trial lenses at no charge to the patient in cases of true financial hardship.

The other advantage afforded by disposable lenses are the improved ocular health they promote when used on a long-term basis. As a conventional lens becomes soiled with deposits over the course of time, there exists a greater likelihood of acquiring giant papillary conjunctivitis, corneal hypoxia, and other irritations and inflammations of the eye and adnexa. By frequent replacement (weekly or bi-weekly) of disposable lenses, however, these undesirable outcomes may be minimezed or eliminated. This is especially critical bacause when used as a bandage lens, it is being placed on a cornea that is already compromised.

There are currently five different disposable lenses on the market. Between these five different lens types, powers range from + 6.00 to -10.00 diopters, base curves are available in 8.4, 8.7, 8.8, or 9.0 mm radii, and water contents vary from 38 to 58%, not to mention differences in center thickness. All lenses are 14.0 mm in diameter. One of the five is designated for daily wear only, whereas the remainder may be worn either daily or extended wear. All five may be worn for a maximum period of two weeks before needing to be discarded. As can be seen, these five lenses provide for a wide selection parameters from which to choose.

Where there are choices, there are also decisions to be made. The doctor must determine whether daily wear or extended wear of the lenses is preferred, the length of the replacement cycle, whether the lenses are going to be worn exclusively or simultaneosly with eyeglasses, and ultimately which of the five lens types is going to be prescribed.

When a new medical device is made available, sometimes new uses for that product evolve through practitioner experience. Disposable contact lenses were introduced as a revolutionary alternative to conventional, cosmetic contact lens wear. The use of disposable lenses as corneal bandages and medication vehicles is certainly a natural progression that optimally benefits the patients.

References

1. Catania L. Primary Care of the Anterior Segment. Ist.

- ed. Norwalk: Appleton & Lange, 1988: 277.
- Pavan-Langston D. Manual of Ocular Diagnosis and Therapy. 2nd ed. Boston: Little, Brown and Co., 1986: 95.
- 3. Bartlett J, Jaanus S. Clinical Ocular Pharmacology. lst. ed. Boston: Butterworths, 1984: 570.
- 4. Bier N, Lowther G. Contact Lens Correction. 4th ed. Boston: Butterworths, 1977: 122.
- 5. Kanski J. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2nd ed. Boston Butterworths, 1984: 1.5.
- 6. Amos J. Diagnosis and Management in Vision Care Ist. ed. Boston: Butterworths, 1987: 274.
- 7. Franfelder, F, Hanna C. Ophthalmic drug deliver systems. Surv Ophthalmol 1974; 18: 292-8.